

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/998,764	11/29/2001	Masaki Nakamura	56232.13 [4925]	6474
7590 02/25/2004			EXAM	INER
Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P.			MANLOVE, SHALIE A	
Suite 300 One Maritime I	Dlaza		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Francisco,			1755	
		DATE MAILED: 02/25/200	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
_	09/998,764	NAKAMURA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Shalie A. Manlove	1755				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA:  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica:  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da:  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor:  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reation. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o						
, —	This action is non-final.	are presention as to the mosts is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		· ·				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8 and 34</u> is/are pending in	the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v	vithdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6, 8, 34</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(c)						
Attachment(s)  1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC Paper No(s)/Mail Date	0/SB/08) 5)  Notice of Ir 6)  Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/998,764

Art Unit: 1755

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on December 9, 2003 has been entered.

### REJECTIONS WITHDRAWN

2. The 35 U.S.C. 112 rejections of claims 6, and 7 are withdrawn due to Applicants' amendment.

#### **NEW REJECTIONS**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Specification fails to teach the polymer and pigment derivative combination.
- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 09/998,764

Art Unit: 1755

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 7. Claim 4 recites the limitation "water soluble polymer is adsorbed on the surface of the pigment particles" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in claim 1.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 9. Claims 1-3, 6, 8, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aida (US 6,235,099).

Application/Control Number: 09/998,764

Art Unit: 1755

As to claims 1-3, Aida teaches inkjet recording liquid (abstract) and process for the production thereof comprising a pigment derivative having a polar group (col. 5, lines 18-64) and pigment particles (col. 4, lines 1-42) ranging from 0.01 to 0.1 microns or 10-100 nm (col. 3, lines 28), and a surfactant (col. 9, line 66) dispersed in a dispersion medium (see examples). A prima facie case of obviousness typically exists when the range of a claimed composition overlap the range disclosed in the prior art. *In re Malagari*, 499 F.2d 1297, 1303, 182 USPQ 549, 553 (CCPA 1974).

As to claim 6, Aida teaches a surfactant is added for the purpose of maintaining the dispersion of the pigment (col. 9, lines 63-65). It is well known in the art that the surfactant would adsorb on the surface of the pigment particles to decrease agglomeration amongst the particles.

As to claim 8, Aida teaches water-based or water-dispersed inkjet recording liquid to contain at least 50% water (col. 9, lines 15-18; col. 11, lines 12-19, 26-36 and col. 12, lines 27-35, and 42-51).

As to claim 34, Aida teaches the inkjet recording liquid comprising a pigment derivative with a polar group (col. 5, lines 50-64).

## Response to Arguments

- 10. Applicants' arguments and Declaration has been considered, but moot in view of new grounds of rejection.
- 11. Applicant argues, "Support for claim 3 is in the original claim 3".

Art Unit: 1755

12. Applicant is reminded that there must be <u>support</u> for the pigment derivative having a water-soluble polymer absorbed on its surface within the Specification and not in the original claim 3, which has been amended.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shalie A. Manlove whose telephone number is (571) 272-1372. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00- 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark L. Bell can be reached on (571) 272-1362. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shalie A. Manlove Examiner Art Unit 1755

February 17, 2004

C. MELISSA KOSLOW PRIMARY EXAMINER